

PQAO TRAINING 2019

Technology Update Regulatory PM Monitoring

June 6, 2019

Simon Cheung

Air Quality Surveillance Branch

Monitoring and Laboratory Division

California Air Resources Board

Overview

- Introduction
- PM History
- T640 Evaluation
- Next Steps

Introduction

- A function of my section is to look for better and more reliable technology for our PM monitoring program.
- Talk and share our experience with recent PM instrument evaluation.
- Highlight three field studies with the Teledyne API (TAPI) T640.
- Next Steps?

Particulate Matter

- Is a criteria pollutant.
- Regulated in CA: PM10 and PM2.5.
- Poses risk to our health.
- Measured primarily for areas to determine attainment status.
- Data reporting: AQI, AIRNOW, Ag Burn, etc.
- Requires reliable instrument to provide accurate measurement.

CARB's PM Monitoring History



CARB's PM Program

- Dated back to 1970s.
- First started with filterbased FRM sampler.
- Manual sampling.
- Daily averages only.
- Demands for more timeresolved data led to continuous monitoring.



Thermo Partisol 2000

CARB's PM Program (cont.)

- In early 2000s BAM had become popular.
- Continuous sampling.
- Different options of cut head (FEM vs non-FEM).
- Hourly averages.
- Been used widely by PQAO as a standard PM instrument.



Met One BAM 1020

New PM Technologies



Met One BAM 1022



Met One BC 1054



Met One SASS 22L

New PM Technologies (cont.)



Met One EBAM Plus



Thermo 5030i SHARP

TAPI 602 Beta Plus

Teledyne API T640

- Continuous monitor.
- Uses scattered light spectrometry.
- FEM designated PM2.5 but also measures PM10 and PMC.
- Minute averages.
- Indoor or outdoor installation



TAPI T640

* TAPI has an enhanced version called T640X for FEM PM10 and PMC as well.

T640's Quick Specs

Parameter	Specification
Measurement Principle	Broadband spectroscopy using 90° white light scattering with Polychromatic LED
PM Mass Measurements	PM2.5, PM10, PM Coarse (10-2.5)
Measurement Range	0.1 – 10,000 μg/m3
Data Resolution	0.1 μg/m3
Lower Detectable Limit	<0.1 µg/m3 (1-hr average)
Data Rate	<u>10s to 48hr (user selectable)</u>
Sample Flow Rate	5.0 LPM within ±1% accuracy
Communication	Ethernet (TCP/IP Modbus & HTTP Protocols)
Operating Temp. Range	-40 to +60°C
Operating Humidity Range	0 to 100% RH, non-condensing
Dimensions	7"x17"x14" (Unit) + 43" (Heater Tube)
Weights	19lbs (Unit) + 6lbs (Heater Tube)
US EPA Designation	US EPA Class III FEM (EQPM-0516-236)

11

How the T640 setup looks?





New Enclosure

The Touchscreen Interface

		Home	÷	\$		10:16:16 AM
Home		PM10		14.0) ug/m3	
Dashboard Alerts		PM2.5		8.2	ug/m3	
Calibration	>	PM10-2.5		5.8	ug/m3	
Utilities	>					
Setup	>					
		5.01 LPM Sample Flow	12.9 de Ambient	egC Femp	29. Samp	1 % le RH
R		> Home	M	ode: SAM	MPLE	

The Dashboard



Parameters of Interest

						CARBLogger _	o x
	File Ec	dit Vie	ew Searc	h Terr	ninal	Help	
VΑ	L SAI	: 03=	- 22.4 A	vg_]=	- 9.8	Ê
AP	IT64	40M	(ODB)	US	:	T640_PM10_CONC= 8.4853	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB	US	:	T640_PM25_CONC= 4.5132	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB ¹	US	:	T640_PMC_CONC= 3.9721	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB	US	:	T640_SAM_FL= 5.0086	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB	US	:	T640_SAM_RH= 30.5529	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB	US	:	T640 AMB PRES= 769.048	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB	US	:	T640_AMB_TMP= 11.4879	
AP	IT64	40M	IODB	US	:	T640_BOX_TMP= 22.0560	
Hi	t "c	ч"	or	"x"	Ί	To Return To Main Menu	
L	ITEMP :	ITEME	/= Z3.8				-
	BAM1020 BAM1020	PM10 : PM10 :	PM10s_1 PM10_1=	= 12.9	0000	and the second	
	BAM1020 BAM25	PM10 : : BAM1	Q_ACT_1	= 0.83 *WARNI	5 NG:Cl	Channel Mode: Zero Test	
1	VAISALA	: QTOI	r1= 0.000 g_RH= 56.	4 **WAF	NING	:Channel Mode: Zero Test	
	APIT640	MODBUS	g_1= 9.8 5 : T640_	PM10_0	ONC=	= 8.4853	
	APIT640	MODBUS	s : 1640_ s : 1640_	PM25_C PMC_CC	NC=	= 4.5132 3.9721	
APIT640MODBUS : T640_SAM_FL= 5.0086 APIT640MODBUS : T640_SAM_RH= 30.5529							
APIT640MODBUS : T640_AMB_PRES= 769.048 APIT640MODBUS : T640_AMB_TMP= 11.4879							
	APIT640 Hit "q"	or ">	3 : T640_ «" To Ret	BOX_TN urn To	IP= 22 Main	22.0560 /	
							≡
							~

- PM2.5
- PM10
- PM Coarse
- Flowrate
- Relative Humidity
- Ambient Pressure
- Ambient Temp
- Box Temp
- LED Temp
- Sample Temp
- Pump Duty Cycle

Maintenance Checklist

CARB INSTRUMENT MONTHLY QUALITY CONTROL MAINTENANCE CHECK SHEET API T640 PM MASS MONITOR

Location:	Month/Year:	
Station Number:	Technician:	
Property Number:	Agency:	

Operator Instructions:

- 1) Daily: Review station data logger values for correct operation of T640 monitor.
- 2) Biweekly: Check the system clock.

Check the ambient temperature and pressure.

- Perform T640 flow check. (5.0LPM ± 0.25LPM)
- Perform T640 zero check. *** No vacuum leak check on this instrument.
- 3) Monthly: Complete this Monthly Quality Control Maintenance Check Sheet.
 - Thoroughly clean the T640 inlet.
 - Check pump performance. (PWM value < 80%)
 - Check/Adjust PMT with SpanDust[™]. (Measured peak, limit: 11.3 ± 0.5) Date Last Performed:
 - PMT Peak:
- 4) Semi-annual: Inspect and clean optical chamber and RH/T sensor.

Change the disposable filter unit (DFU).

5) Annual: Inspect sampling line, or as needed.

Transfer Standard Used:

Make/Model	Serial/I.D. Number	Date Certified	

Bi-Weekly Sampler Flow Rate, Ambient Temp, and Pressure Check Results:

Date:	Sampler	Standard	Difference	Control Limits*	
Flow Rate				5.0 ± 0.25 LPM	
Ambient Temp.				±2°C	
Ambient Press.				± 10 mm Hg	1(
Zero Check				< 0.1 µg/m ³	

Tools Needed for Checks



Zero Test







Initiate the "Leak Check" from Calibration screen, and watch the PM monitors to drop to zero.

Flow Check





Bi-Weekly Sampler Flow Rate, Ambient Temp, and Pressure Check Results:

Date:	Sampler	Standard	Difference	Control Limits*
Flow Rate	4.98	5.01	0.03	5.0 ± 0.25 LPM
Ambient Temp.	10.9	12.1	1.2	±2°C
Ambient Press.	766.2	763.5	2.7	± 10 mm Hg
Zero Check	0.0			< 0.1 µg/m ³

SpanDust Check







- Initiate the "PMT Adjust" and check for the Peak Channel reading.
- The allowed range is ±0.5 of the specified target.
- If PMT check fails, inspect the optical chamber.

After Maintenance Checks

Alert
 Messages

Abnormal Readings (~10 mins)



Field Evaluations

- Three different campaigns:
 - Sacramento T Street : Nov-2017 to Sep-2018
 → an urban site in downtown Sacramento

Chico – East Ave : Oct-2018 to Mar-2019
 → a rural site surrounded with agriculture

Brawley (Imperial County) : Jul-2018 to Mar-2019
 → a rural site in SoCal near the desert

Sacramento – T Street

24-hr Averaged PM2.5 Comparison



Time series of Daily PM2.5 measurements: T640, BAM25, and FRM ²⁶ from 11/21/2017 thru 9/11/2018

Sacramento – T Street

Hourly PM2.5 Comparison (July-11 to September-10, 2018)



Linear Regression (24-hr Averaged PM2.5)

First 90 Days @ Sac-T





BAM25 vs. FRM (First 90 Days)



Last 90 Days @ Sac-T







Linear Regression (24-hr Averaged PM2.5) Summary of Sac-T's Daily PM2.5 comparison between T640, BAM25, and FRM. Yvs.X First 90 Days Last 90 Days Y = 1.4017X - 0.6547Y = 0.9885X + 0.1323T640 vs. BAM25 $R^2 = 0.9762$ $R^2 = 0.9726$ Y = (1.4014X) - 1.8162Y = 1.0087X + 1.1985**T640 vs. FRM** $R^2 = 0.9783$ $R^2 = 0.9864$ Y = 1.0005X + 1.3830Y = 0.9885X - 0.4220BAM25 vs. FRM $R^2 = 0.9870$ $R^2 = 0.9738$

* During the smoky days, T640 reported <u>~40%</u> higher than the collocated monitors.

Chico – East Avenue

24-hr Averaged PM2.5 Comparison



Time series of Daily PM2.5 measurements: T640, BAM25, and FRM ₃₀ from 10/1/2018 thru 1/31/2019

Chico – East Avenue

Hourly PM2.5 Comparison (November 2018)



Linear Regression (24-hr Averaged PM2.5)

Summary of Chico-East's Daily PM2.5 comparison between T640, BAM25, and FRM.

Y vs. X	Nov-2018	Dec-2018 to Jan-2019
T640 vs. BAM25	Y = 1.4388X - 0.6300 R ² = 0.9946	Y = 1.1999X + 0.4338 R ² = 0.9703
T640 vs. FRM	Y = 1.4173X - 1.9535 R ² = 0.9986	Y = 1.1429 X + 0.4944 R ² = 0.9798
BAM25 vs. FRM	Y = 1.0174X – 0.8161 R ² = 0.9996	Y = 0.9343X + 0.4103 R ² = 0.9754

* T640 reported <u>~43%</u> higher during Camp Fire.

** For wood burning season, T640 reported around <u>15~20%</u> higher.

Brawley (Imperial County)

24-hr Averaged PM10 Comparison



Time series of PM10S measurements: T640, BAM10, and EBAM+ from 8/1/2018 thru 3/31/2019

Linear Regression (24-hr Averaged PM10)

Summary of Brawley's Daily PM10 comparison between T640, BAM10, and EBAM+.

Y vs. X	Overall
T640 vs. BAM10	Y = 1.1294X + 7.6089 R ² = 0.9319
T640 vs. EBAM+	Y = 1.2973X + 3.1476 R ² = 0.9351
EBAM+ vs. BAM10	$Y = 0.8782X + 3.1809$ $R^2 = 0.9879$

* T640's PM10 in general correlated with other monitors but reported higher. Could it be due to the lower flowrate?

Overall Feedback

- Wood smoke influence on performance... ^(C)
- More expensive than the BAMs... ③
- Ethernet-only telemetry… ☺
- Good portability... [©]
- Real-time minute data... ③
- Multi-parameter measurements... ③
- Do not require sample filter or tape... ③
- Low maintenance requirement...

What now?

- Field evaluation for T640 is done.
- All data and findings have been shared with TAPI.
- CARB has no plan for T640 deployment yet.
- T640 still has the potential to be used for other types of monitoring (e.g. oil & gas, or community air).
- Write a staff report to summarize these evaluations.

Questions?

Contact Info:

Simon Cheung

simon.cheung@arb.ca.gov

(916) 322-6083