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## Agenda

- Focus on $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ only sites
- Regulation and frequency
- $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ level and acceptance criteria
- QC check example \& timeline
- Valid vs invalid for AQS reporting
- Discussion on decimals



## Regulation and Frequency Key Points

### 3.1. Gaseous Monitors of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and CO .

3.1.1 One-Point Quality Control ( $Q C$ ) Check for $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and CO . (a) A one-point QC check must be performed at least once every 2 weeks on each automated monitor used to measure $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and CO . With the advent of automated calibration systems more frequent checking is strongly encouraged. See Reference 10 of this appendix for guidance on the review procedure. The QC check is made by challenging the monitor with a QC check gas of known concentration (effective concentration for open path monitors) between the prescribed range of 0.005 and 0.08 parts per million (ppm) for $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, and between the prescribed range of 0.5 and 5 ppm for CO monitors. The QC check gas concentration selected within the prescribed range should be related to the monitoring objectives for the monitor. If monitoring at an NCore site or for trace level monitoring, the QC check concentration should be selected to represent the mean or median concentrations at the site. If the mean or median concentrations at trace gas sites are below the MDL of the instrument the agency can select the lowest concentration in the prescribed range that can be practically achieved. If the mean or median

EPA 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix A, 3.1.1

## Why more Frequently?

- Minimize data loss
- Ability to better track operation of monitor
- VCAPCD runs automated check every other day
- Little down side when system is automated
- Better Air Quality System (AQS) statistics


## Data Quality Indicator Report (AMP 256)

| UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY AIR QUALITY SYSTEM <br> DATA QUALITY INDICATOR REPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pollutant: | One Point Quality Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Feb. 19, 2019 |  |
|  | 42602 |  | (Nitrogen dioxide (NO2)) |  |  | PQAO: California Air Resources Board (0145) |  |  |  | \% Complete | CV UB | App A? <br> Bias UB |
| Year | Region | State | Ste IDs | POC | MT | Begin Date | End <br> Date | Intervals Required | Valued Intervals |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-2002 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 1.83 | + 3.52 |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-3001 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 3.34 | +/- 3.33 |
| 2018 |  |  | SUMMARY |  |  | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 52 | 52 | 100 | 3.85 | +/- 3.35 |
| SUMMARY |  |  | SUMMARY |  |  | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 52 | 52 | 100 | 3.85 | +/- 3.35 |
| Pollutant: |  | 44201 ( | (Ozone) |  |  | PQAO: C | fornia Air Reso | urces Board | (0145) |  |  | App A? Y |
| Year | Region | State | Ste IDs | POC | MT | Begin Date | End | Intervals Required | Valued Intervals | \% Complete | CV UB | Bias UB |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-0007 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 1.03 | +/- 0.74 |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-0009 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 1.56 | +/- 1.80 |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-1004 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 1.28 | +/- 0.82 |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-2002 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 1.97 | +/- 2.29 |
| 2018 | 09 | CA | 06-111-3001 | 1 | S | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 26 | 26 | 100 | 2.57 | - 3.55 |
| 2018 |  |  | SUMMARY |  |  | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 130 | 130 | 100 | 1.97 | +/. 1.75 |
| SUMMARY |  |  | SUMMARY |  |  | 01-JAN-18 | 31-DEC-18 | 130 | 130 | 100 | 1.97 | +/-1.75 |

## $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ Assessment Value and Acceptance Criteria

- 40 CFR Part 58 Appendix A, 3.1.1
- Between 5 and 80 ppb
- VCAPCD assessment value 50-55 ppb
- Acceptance criteria +/- 1.5 ppb or +/- 7 \% whichever is greater

Pt. 58, App. A
ance on the review procedure. The QC check is made by challenging the monitor with a QC check gas of known concentration (effective concentration for open path monitors) between the prescribed range of 0.005 and 0.08 parts per million (ppm) for $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, and between the prescribed range of 0.5 and

- VCAPCD action level is 5 \%
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## QC Check Example

- Can save QC check hour for $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ only sites
- Equipment must stabilize quickly
- Timing critical and must steal from consecutive hours
- Contributes to increased data completeness


## Timeline Details

- 00:46 Data logger triggers calibrator - hour is valid
- $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ output is 51.1 ppb
- $00: 53 \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is stable (7 minutes)
- 00:59 data logger records value (avg last 5 minutes)
- 01:00 Zero phase begins
- 01:06 Zero is stable
- 01:13 data logger records value (avg last 5 minutes)
- 01:14 One point QC check ends
- Time lost
- 14 min first hour
- 14 min second hour
- Both hours are valid


## QC Check One-Minute Graph



## Data Completeness Report (AMP 430)

UNITED STATES ENVIROMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## DATA COMPLETENESS REPORT

## MONITORS REPORTING

DATE RANGE: JAN. 01, 2018 THRU DEC. 31, 2018 REGION: (09) SAN FRANCISCO
state: California

| SITE ID CITY ADDRESS | PARAMETER |
| :---: | :---: |
| 06-111-0007 | 44201 Ozone |
| Thousand Oaks |  |
| 2323 Moorpark | Road, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 |
| 06-111-0007 | 88101 PM2.5-Local Conditions |
| Thousand Oaks |  |
| 2323 Moorpark | Road, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 |
| 06-111-0009 | 44201 Ozone |
| Piru |  |


| POC | DURATION <br> METHOD |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |
|  | 087 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 170 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |
|  | 087 |

REP ORG: Ventura County APCD MONITOR TYPE: SLAMS

| NUMBER / PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | YEAR |
| 743 | 669 | 744 | 713 | 740 | 720 | 744 | 744 | 720 | 743 | 720 | 744 | 8744 |
| 1008 | 1008 | 1008 | 998 | 998 | 1008 | 1008 | 100\% | 100\% | 1008 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 742 | 569 | 742 | 717 | 738 | 698 | 742 | 741 | 718 | 741 | 716 | 740 | 8604 |
| 1008 | $85 \%$ | 1008 | 1008 | 998 | 978 | 100\% | 100\% | 1008 | 100\% | 99\% | 998 | $98 \%$ |
| 713 | 644 | 713 | 659 | 711 | 689 | 710 | 713 | 690 | 710 | 684 | 713 | 8349 |
| $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $92 \%$ | 968 | 968 | $95 \%$ | 968 | $96 \%$ | 95\% | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ | 95\% |

## At the Office

- Review cal report
- < 7\% OK and data valid
- < 5\% \& 1-min graph stable no action required
- >5\% - analyzer requires action
- > 7\% - Action required expect to invalidate data



## Daily Calibration Report (VCAPCD)

| Site | Parameter | Sequence | $=3$ ) vation connt |  |  |  |  | Expected | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Calibration Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 07-Apr-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Phase | Start | Time | End Time | Value |  |  |
| El Rio-Rio Mesa School \#2 | 03 | PRECTEST | O3PREC | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 02:05:18 | 49.5 | 50.9 | 2.67 |
|  |  | O3PREC | ZERO | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 02:13:24 | 0.4 | 0 | . 41 |
| Ojai-Ojai Avenue |  |  | O3PREC | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 01:16:04 | 52.5 | 53.4 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | O3ZERO | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 01:31:08 | -0.4 | 0 | -. 46 |
| Piru-Pacific Avenue |  |  | 03PREC | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 01:16:03 | 51.8 | 53.2 | $-1.44$ |
|  |  | PRECTEST | O3ZERO | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 01:31:06 | -0.2 | 0 | -. 23 |
| Simi ValleyCochran Street |  |  | 03PREC | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 02:01:19 | 51.3 | 52.2 | -. 81 |
|  |  |  | ZERO | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 02:13:25 | -0.3 | 0 | -. 35 |
| Thousand OaksMoorpark Road |  | O3PREC | O3PREC | 07-Apr- | -2019 | 01:00:0 | 51.2 | 51.1 | . 12 |
|  |  |  | O3ZERO | 07-Apr-2019 | 00:46:00 | 01:14:06 | -0.4 | 0 | -. 42 |

## Valid vs Invalid QC Checks for AQS Reporting

- EPA Air Monitoring Technology Information Center (AMTIC) memo



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711 <br> OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY PLANNING AND STANDARDS

Steps to Qualify or Validate Data after an Exceedance of Critical Criteria Checks
1/30/2018
https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/201801/documents/critical criteria qualifier memo v1 0.pdf
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## Invalid QC Check

- Calibration system failure therefore test concentration not accurate
- Compelling evidence data is valid - Obvious zero air system failure
- QC check reported to AQS with "1C" null code
- 1C code tells AQS that QC check
 occurred for completeness


## Valid QC Check

- Calibration system ok and test concentration accurate
- QC check exceeds criteria
- Analyzer needs repair or adjustment
- Routine data invalidated
- QC check reported but NOT used
 for precision \& bias statistics


## Discussion about Decimals

- Previously VCAPCD used no decimals (ppb) for one point QC checks
- Zero decimals in ppb creates report with little variation
- Most VCAPCD checks reported 0 or +/- 1.9\%
- Checks were accurate and within EPA regulations
- Many zeros or repeated values look questionable
- Changes implemented during scheduled calibrations
- Required extra staff time correcting reports and some logger issues


## Raw Monitor Assessment Report (AMP 251)

no decimals

| Method | Assess Date | NumberAssess Conc. | Monitor Conc. | \% Diff | Unit Abbr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 087 | 2018-07-21 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-07-23 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-07-25 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-07-27 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-07-29 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-07-31 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-02 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-04 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-06 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-08 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-10 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-12 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-14 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-16 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-18 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-20 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-22 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-24 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-26 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-28 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |
| 087 | 2018-08-30 | 153 | 53 | 0 | ppb |

with decimals

| Method | AssessDate | NumberAssess Conc. Monitor Conc. |  |  | \% Diff | Unit Abbr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 087 | 2019-02-16 | 1 | 53 | 53.2 | 0.4 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-02-18 | 1 | 53 | 54.1 | 2.1 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-02-20 | 1 | 53 | 53.4 | 0.8 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-02-22 | 1 | 53 | 53.8 | 1.5 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-02-24 | 1 | 52 | 53.3 | 2.5 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-02-26 | 1 | 52 | 53 | 1.9 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-02-28 | 1 | 52 | 52.9 | 1.7 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-02 | 1 | 52 | 52.8 | 1.5 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-04 | 1 | 52 | 53.3 | 2.5 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-08 | 1 | 52 | 53.2 | 2.3 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-10 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.5 | -1.3 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-12 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.4 | -1.5 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-14 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.8 | -0.8 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-16 | 1 | 52.2 | 52 | -0.4 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-18 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.9 | -0.6 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-20 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.2 | - 1.9 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-22 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.2 | -1.9 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-24 | 1 | 52.2 | 51 | - 2.3 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-26 | 1 | 52.2 | 52 | -0.4 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-28 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.5 | -1.3 | ppb |
| 087 | 2019-03-30 | 1 | 52.2 | 51.5 | -1.3 | ppb |

## EPA suggests reporting more decimals

## QA Handbook Vol II

 Section 14.0, Page 11 of 16occur, monitoring organizations should review CFR for the specifics of this requirement.
The AQS manuals are located at the AQS Website ${ }^{9}$. The AQS Data Coding Manual replaces the previous Volume II and provides coding instructions, edits performed, and system error messages. The AQS User Guide replaces the former Volume III and describes the procedures for data entry. Both manuals will be updated as needed and the new versions will be available on the website. Table 14-1 provides the units and the number of decimal places that, at a minimum, are required for reporting to AQS for the ambient air concentrations for criteria pollutants. These decimal places are used for comparison to the NAAQS and are displayed in AQS summary reports. However, AQS has been revised to allow monitoring organizations can report data up to 30 values to the right of the decimal and it is suggested that monitoring organization take advantage of reporting to more decimal places than required in Table 14-1. For QA/QC data reported to AQS, it is suggested that more decimals than those required in Table 14-1 be reported.

Table 14-1 AQS Data Reporting Requirements

| Pollutant | Units | Decimal <br> Places | Example | Minimum reporting requirement <br> (as described in 40 CFR Part 50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1 | 10.2 | shall be reported to AQS in micrograms per cubic meter $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ to one <br> decimal place, with additional digits to the right being truncated (App. <br> $\mathrm{N})$ |
| $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1 | 26.2 | No description found |
| Lead $(\mathrm{Pb})$ <br> TSP and <br> $\mathrm{PB}_{\mathrm{P}}-\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 3 | 1.525 | Pb-TSP and Pb-PM10 measurement data are reported to AQS in units of <br> micrograms per cubic meter $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ at local conditions (local <br> temperature and pressure, LC) to three decimal places; any additional <br> digits to the right of the third decimal place are truncated (App. R). |
| $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ | ppm | 3 | 0.108 | Hourly average concentrations shall be reported in parts per million <br> (ppm) to the third decimal place, with additional digits to the right of the <br> third decimal place truncated (App. P). |

## Summary of Critical Criteria for $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ One Pt QC Check

- Frequency - Every 14 days
- More frequent checks will reduce data invalidation
- Percent difference must be < $7 \%$
- Operational action level around 5\% will reduce crisis visits
- $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ range must be 5 to 80 ppb
- Recommend using typical daily concentration if not too low
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