## **Technical System Audits**

Dena Vallano Air Quality Analysis Office EPA Region 9

2017 ARB PQAO Training Workshop January 25, 2017

# TSAs





## **TSAs on Consolidated PQAOs**



### 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A, Section 2.5 says:

- A TSA is required for each PQAO every three years
- If a PQAO is made up of several monitoring organizations, all monitoring organizations should be audited within six years (two TSA cycles of the PQAO).



EPA and ARB collaborate to conduct TSAs of all monitoring organizations within the ARB PQAO on a schedule of every 3-6 years <sup>3</sup>

# **R9 TSA Objectives**



- Emphasize criteria pollutants and regulatory requirements:
  - ✓ Defensible documentation
  - ✓ Data reported to AQS are accurate
  - ✓ Effective, efficient technical procedures that adhere to QAPPs/SOPs
  - ✓ Consistency and best practices
  - ✓ On-site operations/field site knowledge
  - ✓ Feedback on monitoring systems
  - Learn new and better monitoring techniques



# **R9 TSA Timeline**





# **R9 TSA Process**









## **TSA Prep**

## **TSA On-Site**

Post-TSA Assessment



### **Data Tracking**

A cradle to grave assessment of air quality data as a tool to evaluate appropriate implementation of an agency's air monitoring programs.

#### Pre-TSA Scoping & AQS Pulls

- Focus on specific parameters (pollutants, sites)
- Review AQS reports and choose data points

### TSA On-Site Field Activities

- Site/Station Evaluation: Review instrument or station logbooks, maintenance sheets, site operator interview, etc.
- Data Management System Evaluation: Review data validation procedures and documentation, standard certifications, etc.

## **R9 Data Audit Process**





#### **Missing Values**

Null Data Codes and QA Qualifier Flags

Irregular Data Patterns

Max/Min Values



# Data loss due to instrument malfunctions

Null/qualifier codes in AQS are not appropriate

Inadequate field oversight/training

Inappropriate data invalidation (negative values)

# **R9** Data Tracking



DURATION: 1 HOUR

																				ŧ	JNITS: Mi	crogram	s/cubic	meter (2	5 C)	
																				N	IIN DETE	CTABLE :	-50			
DAY	0000	0100	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	0900	1000	1100	1200	1300	1400	1500	1600	1700	1800	1900	2000	2100	2200	2300	OBS	MEAN
1	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0							
2	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0							
3	AS	AS	A.S	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0	
4	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0							
5	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0							
6	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0							
7	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	AS	0							
8	AS	AS	AS	AS	EA	BA	EA	72	80	97	97	145	156	140	114	116	110	94	11	111.0						
9	92	91	84	51	117	110	120	212	127	51	102	108	184	166	72	51	59	61	74	85	62	6.9	59	30	24	93.1
10	31	24	29	22	30	75	53	113	83	83	74	55	61	58	64	59	61	67	72	75	91	114	92	72	24	64.9
11	76	62	70	72	63	66	118	165	125	98	79	84	63	76	59	59	50	68	71	63	75	73	53	55	24	77.5
12	64	6.0	66	59	47	52	61	73	52	65	77	54	39	42	DA	50	59	66	80	108	100	85	81	72	23	65.7
13	76	51	39	32	19	11	16	35	35	165	126	62	43	33	26	28	22	35	47	5.6	53	52	36	39	24	47.4
14	63	39	38	49	44	65	93	72	44	44	68	63	40	40	48	47	62	72	103	59	57	64	6.6	4.8	24	57.8
15	41	40	48	46	58	53	52	BA	EA	82	85	90	85	68	62	65	57	67	76	80	73	70	98	73	22	66.8
16	64	69	60	6.2	71	8.8	155	148	125	115	76	40	35	39	28	32	59	91	133	161	113	107	83	81	24	84.8
17	79	62	52	51	69	76	91	113	101	89	97	118	98	68	61	47	50	73	76	90	92	80	87	85	24	79.4
18	82	90	95	108	99	83	113	127	131	125	93	6.0	67	59	73	67	79	131	161	103	109	101	89	98	24	97.6
19	98	95	81	78	85	99	113	179	336	147	105	79	75	80	77	87	64	79	77	84	65	78	72	79	24	100.5
20	82	72	70	77	84	106	132	131	204	100	59	45	26	37	33	24	35	65	76	92	97	64	49	78	24	76.6
21	84	89	78	67	106	109	140	148	74	90	104	69	50	69	77	104	60	95	136	112	102	93	88	92	24	93.2
22	97	81	71	8.8	98	99	168	204	(BA	(DA)	102	121	97	79	87	84	91	137	164	125	117	116	97	03	22	108.9
23	102	124	101	93	114	128	194	200	214	125	82	97	97	81	76	77	101	124	139	118	128	88	90	91	24	115.6
24	95	124	110	120	95	103	180	212	208	165	123	94	94	76	56	45	57	74	114	133	110	102	91	71	24	110.0
25	72	55	65	65	45	31	54	46	47	58	68	60	62	75	44	68	98	103	180	112	66	62	49	48	24	68.0
26	49	67	63	52	60	51	69	121	111	180	284	177	53	76	95	78	96	103	113	121	84	109	152	128	24	103.9
27	112	98	94	104	116	102	103	143	110	117	92	67	45	40	35	28	46	93	98	90	211	418	416	332	24	129.6
20	286	312	194	128	152	256	176	57	36	58	DA	DA	DA	DA	DA	84	47	49	15	2	DA	DA	DA	DA	15	123.5
29	DA	DA	DA	4	DA	11	45	63	63	33	22	23	DA	DA	26	26	(DA)	55	31	30	28	29	30	23	17	31.9
30	19	14	16	16	12	19	34	42	39	35	(AZ)	AZ	(AZ)	55	223	38	35	53	95	63	51	44	49	62	21	49.2
31	53	47	47	44	39	55	106	96	90	119	94	75	37	36	52	55	50	52	73	83	85	81	65	59	24	66.4
NO.:	22	22	22	23	22	23	23	22	21	22	21	21	20	22	22	24	23	24	24	24	23	23	23	23		
MAX :	286.	312.	194.	128.	152.	256.	184.	212.	336.	190.	284.	177.	184.	166.	223.	104.	101.	145.	180.	161.	211.	418.	416.	332.		
AVG:	82.6	80.3	72.1	64.7	73.8	80.3	103.3	122.7	112.1	97.5	95.8	78.1	66.6	64.8	66.1	58.3	62.7	81.6	98.3	91.0	90.6	96.3	90.9	82.3		

REPORT FOR:

OCTOBER

2013

MONTHLY OBSERVATIONS: 539

MONTHLY MEAN: 83.8

MONTHLY MAX: 418.

AS – Poor QA Results	AZ – QC Audit						
DA – Aberrant Data	BA –						
High Values - Hourly >155 or Mean > 120	Maintenance/Routine Repairs						

# **R9** Data Tracking

19	98	95	81	78	85	99	113	179	336	147	1						se ana				
20	82	72	70	77	84	106	132	131	204	100	Sta	ation o	perator	aoin	g mair	itenan	ce aur	ing pe	ak con	centra	itions
21	84	89	78	67	106	109	140	149	74	90	104	69	50	69	77	104	60	95	136	112	102
22	97	91	71	88	98	99	168	204	EB		102	121	87	79	87	84	91	137	164	125	117
23	102	124	101	93	114	128	184	200	224	125	82	97	97	81	76	77	101	124	139	118	128
24	95	124	118	120	95	103	180	212	208	165	123	94	84	76	56	45	57	74	114	133	110
25	72	55	65	65	45	31	54	46	47	58	68	60	62	75	44	68	98	103	190	112	66
26	49	67	63	52	60	51	69	121	111	180	284	177	53	76	95	78	96	103	113	121	84
27	112	98	94	104	116	102	103	143	110	117	92	67	45	40	35	28	46	93	98	90	211
28	286	312	194	128	152	256	176	57	36	58	DA	DA	DA	DA	DA	34	47	49	15	2	DA
29 (	DA	DA	DA	4	DA	11	45	63	63	33	22	23	DA	DA	25	26	DA	55	31	30	28
30	19	14	10	16	12	19	34	42	39	35	AZ	AZ	3.A	55	223	38	35	53	95	63	51
31	53	47	47	44	39	55	106	96	90	179	94	75	37	36	52	55	50	52	73	83	85
NO.:	22	22	Maga					ا من ما م							22	24	23	24	24	24	23
MAX :	286.	312.	Nega	tive va	alues t	OIIOW	ing ni	gn val	ues, ir	istrum	ient o	perati	on erro	)Lt	223.	104.	101.	145.	180.	161.	211.
AVG:	82.6	80.3	72.1	64.7	73.8	80.3	103.3	122.7	112.1	97.5	95.8	78.1	66.6	64.8	66.1	58.3	62.7	81.6	98.3	91.0	90.6

AS – Poor Quality	AZ – QC Audit
Assurance Results	BA –
DA – Aberrant Data	Maintenance/Routine
High Values - Hourly	Repairs
>155 or Mean > 120	

UNITED STATED

GENCY

## **Post-TSA Corrective Action Plans**



Finding Corrective Action Form	Corrective Action Plans
Agency: Audit Date: Finding Number:	= "CAPs"
Finding: [Put finding in here]	Finding
Description of the Problem: [Describe the cause of the problem]	Description of the Problem
Actions Taken or Planned to Correct the Cause: [Describe any actions that have been taken or are planned to address the cause of the finding]	Corrective Action
Timetable for Above "Actions Taken or Planned to Correct the Cause" [List schedule of activities with expected dates]	Timetable
<b>Deliverables to Demonstrate Implementation (as appropriate)</b> [List what documentation will be provided to EPA to show that the corrective action has been implemented and the finding addressed.]	> Deliverables
Corrective Action Author & Date:    Point-of-Contact for Corrective Action      [Prepared by:]    [List the person who is responsible for planning and tracking the corrective action for this finding]	Author/Point of Contact

[This section to be filled out by EPA]

<u>Plan to Address Finding</u> Reviewed by: Date: Plan to address finding approved?

EPA Review/Response

# **Overview of CAP Process**



## Streamlining the CAP Process









Communication

Assessment of previous CAPs CAP Submission & Approval Process CAP Implementation & Closures

# **Common CAP Issues**



## Appropriate documentation

- SOPs, QAPPs, QMPs, technical memos, etc.
- Adequate training and protocol formalization
- Address individual findings on a systematic level if applicable
- Implementation details
  - ➤Target dates
  - Level of detail for corrective action(s)



## **Example Corrective Action Plan**



#### Finding:

The Yuba City site has several significant siting issues that need to be resolved.

#### **Description of the Problem:**

The Yuba City site monitors for the following pollutants for comparison to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards: Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Particulate Matter (PM10) (high vol. filterbased), Particulate Matter (PM2.5) (filter-based)

The site also has a Particulate Matter (PM2.5) Beta Attenuation Model that is used for non-National Ambient Air Quality Standards purposes.

The monitors are on the roof of a small commercial building in a generally residential neighborhood. The gaseous probe is on the northeastern portion of the roof. The particulate monitors are on the southern portion of the roof and the Beta Attenuation Model inlet is on the northwestern portion of the roof.

The gaseous probe is within 3 meters of trees and 4 meters from the roadway. This probe must be at least 10 meters from the roadway and the drip line of adjacent trees. This could be resolved by moving the probe to the south and trimming the adjacent trees.

The particulate monitors are within 6 meters of a tree(s) to the east and 10 meters of a tree to the southwest. The instruments must be at least 10 meters from adjacent trees (a distance of 20 meters is preferable). This could be resolved by trimming trees.



## **Example Corrective Action Plan**



#### aujuonou, auto carto - mo

Actions Taken or Planned to Correct the Cause:

California Air Resources Board has issued an Air Quality Data Action request, to address the siting issues recorded during this audit. Air Quality Data Action 8152 was issued for the siting of trees at this site. As a result, the trees were trimmed (as shown below) to bring the station in compliance with the applicable requirements. There are plans to move the probe to meet the requirements by June-2013.



## **Example Corrective Action Plan**



Timetable for Above Actions	Point-of-Contact for Corrective Action
The trees were trimmed on June 26, 2012. Probe to be moved by June 1, 2013	Glen Jennings, Air Pollution Specialist California Air Resources Board (916) 324-9748

#### Implementation of Corrective Action:

- An Air Quality Data Action (AQDA) request was issued on 5/7/12 by the Quality
  Assurance Section for the siting issues described above and resolved on 6/26/12 (see
  attached AQDA# 8152).
- Trees surrounding the site have been trimmed and the gaseous probe has been moved (see attached photographs).
- The station is now in compliance with Title 40, Code of Regulations, Part 58, Appendix E, Section 5 requirements.

Chief - Quality Management Branch

Date



#### QAPP/SOPs

- "Agency does not have QAPPs for O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and NCore monitoring; QAPPs for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are outdated and should be revised."
- Required to review them every 5 yrs; Addendums on ARB's website

#### **AQS** Coding

• "No flags are entered into AQS for any continuous instrument data."

#### Training

• "Agency should have formalized training requirements for all air monitoring staff."

#### **Data Validation**

• "The data review and validation process is not complete and/or independent."

#### QA Independence

• "The EPA requirement for independent quality assurance is not being fully met."

# 2015 ARB TSA Highlights



## **Key Findings**

- Inadequate training and technical support
- Out of date ARB and district QAPP/SOPs
- Lack of documentation for the quality of zero air
- Inconsistent AQS coding
- ARB Standards Laboratory QA System has a number of deficiencies
- Lack of complete and/or independent data review and validation procedures



ARB has put into place multiple systems and infrastructure to promote better communication between ARB and the districts

- PQAO District Liaisons
- PQAO trainings
- Roles and Responsibilities (R&R) documents
- New data management system (DMS)
- Data validation SOP
- Quality Assurance (QA) Webpage
- California Monitoring Network Assessment Tool (CAMNAT)



# EPA TSA Workgroup

SWINDING STATES

- Goal: Develop a more consistent national TSA approach
  - Discuss audit findings, actions and follow-up
  - Build auditor expertise
  - Develop audit tools
- Next Steps:
  - TSA Guidance Document
  - Statistical tools to analyze monitoring and QC data
  - Webinars for auditors to develop skills





### **Region 9 Contacts:**

Dena Vallano Vallano.dena@epa.gov 415-972-3134

Mathew Plate <u>Plate.Mathew@epa.gov</u> 415-972-3799

