2017 CARB PQAO Training

Verifying Zero Air Sources in an Ambient Air Gaseous Monitoring Network

Yousaf Hameed, MPA Air Quality Monitoring Supervisor Clark County Department of Air Quality (DAQ)





Introduction

Quality Data: proper instrument operation: **Calibration**

- Good quality zero air is important
- Lower level measurements and upscale regression

Clean/reliable zero air is a must

How do we verify zero air sources?

Zero Air Systems

Cylinders Considerations

- Vendor Certifications Available
- Purity Level Issues



(CERTIFICATE OF A	ANALYS	SIS	
(Grade of Product: UI	TRA ZE	RO	
Part Number: Cylinder Number: Laboratory: Analysis Date: Lot Number:	rt Number: AI UZ300 'linder Number: 4764451Y boratory: 103 - Los Angeles (SAP) - CA ialysis Date: Nov 12, 2013 t Number: 14-400283283-1		Reference Number: Cylinder Volume: Cylinder Pressure: Valve Outlet:	
	ANALY	TICAL R	ESULTS	
Component	ANALY	TICAL R Requested Purity	ESULTS	Certified Concentration
Component	ANALY	TICAL R Requested Purity	ESULTS	Certified Concentration
Component AIR CO + CO2	ANALY	TICAL R Requested Purity	ESULTS <	Certified Concentration 0.2 PPM
Component AIR CO + CO2 THC		TICAL R Requested Purity	ESULTS < <	Certified Concentration 0.2 PPM 0.1 PPM
Component AIR CO + CO2 THC Percent Oxygen		TICAL R Requested Purity 1.0 PPM 0.1 PPM 20-22 %	ESULTS < <	Certified Concentration 0.2 PPM 0.1 PPM 20.86 %

Zero Air Systems (contd.)

ZAG Considerations

- Continuous Supply of Zero Air
- No Factory Certifications (from most vendors)

ZAG Break Through

- When High Pollutant Level In Scrubbing Efficiency
- Potentially Effected (not clean) Output
- Teledyne API Paper



EPA Efforts

EPA Requirements

Rules and guidance are sparse

Local initiatives

QA Workgroup is developing guidance



Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems

Volume II

Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Program

Developing a Local Procedure

Technical Challenges

Iterative Process

Some Issues (along the way):

- Measuring below Lower Detectable Limit (LDL)
- Response time / stability
- Flow rate and pressure
- Reliability and repeatability



Procedural Approach

First Iteration

Certified Zero Air Cylinder

- Sequenced with a series of scrubbers (for cleaning and drying)
- System was a standard



Procedural Approach (contd.)

Standard response compared against field ZAG (legacy procedure)

Note: Instrument's front panel used for readout

- Obtain Difference: Diff = $Std_z ZAG_z$
- Within tolerances? Yes, then good.

Instrument	Units	Allowable Tolerance
Ozone	ppb	± 1
Carbon Monoxide	ppm	± 0.1
Oxides of Nitrogen	ppb	± 1
Sulfur Dioxide	ppb	± 1

Procedural Approach (updated)

Difficulties with existing procedure

• Time for an update

Certified ZAG



Solved problems:

 Instrument stability, moisture, pressure, and flow issues.

Vendor ZAG Certification

PROJECT NUMBER:		01, 1001P, 1010, 2020)	
	SP4517	SERIAL NUMBER:	04671214F	
MODEL NUMBER:	M2020			
OPTIONS:				1
1001-06 Line V 1001-08 CO Ca 1001-04 Output 1001-12 Interna 1001P-06 Line V 1001P-08 CO Ca 1001P-10 Interna	oltage, 196-240 VAC talytic Oxidizer Flow Meter Il Methane Oxidizer oltage, 196-240 VAC talytic Oxidizer Il Methane Oxidizer	2020-06 Line Volt X 2020-08 CO Cata	age, 196-240 VAC ytic Oxidizer	
PNEUMATIC TEST:		ELECTRICAL TEST	2	
LEAK TEST	X	BURN-IN	X # HRS	2
OUTLET PRESSURE GAUGE CAL	X	TEMP. CTRL. PROGRAMMED	X	
PRESSURE RELIEF	X	TIMING ADJUSTED	X	
GAS TEST	OUTPUT	REMOTE START VERIFICATION	X	
NOX <	1 PPB	CONTACT CLOSURE VERIFY	X	
NO2 < O3 <	1 PPB 1 PPB	S1-1 CONFIGURATIO	N: ON	_
	1 PPB 1 PPB	S1-2 CONFIGURATIO	N: ON	
SO2 < H2S <				

512.869.0544 • 512.869.0993 FAX • sales@sabio.com • www.sabio.com

Certificate Specification Questions

Vendor Commitment

Costs Approximately \$500



Methods (Zero Air Verification)

- 1. Run zero air from standard to measurement instrument
- 2. Adjust analyzer zero coefficient (Calibrate)
- 3. Run zero air from field ZAG to measurement instrument
 - Test reading is taken
- 4. If within tolerance, then test passes



 Note: Difference measurement between the standard and field ZAG is not needed

Diagram



Information About Data

- Annual testing of all the field ZAGs
 More often in some cases
- Test for each parameter being measured
- 2015 Testing: data set on next slide



2015 Zero Air Testing Results



Table 1. Allowable Tolerance.

	SO_2		O ₃	СО		NO ₂	NOy
	Ambient	Trace	Ambient	Ambient	Trace	Ambient	Trace
	Range	Range	Range	Range	Range	Range	Range
1% of Range	2.0 ppb	1.0 ppb	5.0 ppb	0.4 ppm^1	50 ppb	5.0 ppb	2.0 ppb

¹CO tolerance is not based on percent full scale; see lower detectable limit in Table B-1 to Subpart B of 40 Part 53 and 40 CFR 53.23(c).

Summary

Revised and improved testing design

- Testing is now more stable
- Instrument response time improvements
- Greater control: moisture, pressure, flow
- Data quality

Agency (State, Local, EPA) Efforts

- Standardization and more consistent results
- National scale
- Data comparability

Quality Management

Continuous improvement – more work?



Acknowledgements

US EPA

San Diego APCD

Break Out Session

Clark County Staff



References

Teledyne API – Engineering Report (ENG-016): M701H Zero Air Characterization Report (October 29, 2008).

U.S. Government Publishing Office; Electronic Code of Federal Regulations: Title 40, Part 53: Table B-1 to Subpart of Part 53– Performance Limit specifications for Automated Methods. See <u>http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-</u> <u>idx?SID=69e22778299ed5e4eedf739c689b568f&mc=true&node=pt40.</u> <u>6.53&rgn=div5</u> (accessed May 5, 2016).

United States Environmental Protection Agency; Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume II, Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Program. See <u>http://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/pm25/qa/QA-</u> <u>Handbook-Vol-II.pdf</u> (accessed May 5, 2016).

Any Questions?

Contact: Yousaf Hameed, MPA Air Quality Monitoring Supervisor Clark County Department of Air Quality Direct: (702) 455-1664 Email: <u>Hameed@ClarkCountyNV.gov</u>





<section-header>